



ACTA PALAEOBOTANICA

International Journal of Palaeobotany and Palynology

W. Szafer Institute of Botany, Polish Academy of Sciences
Lubicz 46, PL-31-512 Kraków, Poland
phone [+48 12] 42-41-731; fax [+48 12] 42-41-731
e-mail: wydawnictwa@botany.pl; http://www.botany.pl/ibwyd/acta_paleo/act-p.htm

Questionnaire for referees

Author(s):

Title:

	YES	NO
1. Does the title of this paper sufficiently clearly reflect its contents?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2. Is the abstract informative?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3. Has the botanical nomenclature been used properly, consistent with the rules of the International Code of Nomenclature for algae, fungi and plants (Melbourne Code)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4. Is the manuscript clearly written and well organized?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5. Are the results clearly presented?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
6. Are the interpretations sufficiently backed by available data and competent?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
7. Are the illustrations and tables all necessary and acceptable, are they in good quality?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
8. Are the references adequate, complete and are they all necessary?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
9. Is the language of the paper:		
a. acceptable	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b. needing revision by a native speaker	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
10. Overall recommendation. Is the paper acceptable for publication:		
a. in its present form	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b. after minor revision	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c. only after major revision	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
d. not acceptable	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

On separate sheet comment any deficiencies of manuscript. Please, suggest where improvements should be done.

Date

Name*

Do you wish to be identified to the author(s)? (yes/no)

*Reviewers are anonymous unless they wish their names to be known to author(s).